

Devil's Share

You are given a number, x . The devil wants his share of the number. He will take the largest subnumber with κ digits. Minimize the devil's share by reordering the digits in number x .

Formally, you have at your disposal s ($1 \leq s \leq 100\,000$) digits between 1 and 9, inclusively. Given an integer κ ($1 \leq \kappa \leq s$), you are to create a number x using **all** the digits at your disposal, such that the largest length κ substring of x is as small as possible.

Clarification: A length κ substring of x is a base 10 integer comprising of κ consecutive digits of x in the very same order. There are $s - \kappa + 1$ such substrings in number x .

Input

The first line of input contains one integer τ ($1 \leq \tau \leq 100\,000$) - the number of test scenarios to analyse.

The description of τ test scenarios follows. Each test scenario consists of two lines:

The first line contains one integer κ - the length of all the substrings to consider.

The second line contains 9 space-separated integers: D_1, D_2, \dots, D_9 , where D_i represents the number of digits i at your disposal. ($0 \leq D_i, D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_9 = s$).

The sum of s over all test scenarios will not exceed 1 000 000.

Output

For each test scenario, print x - the number you created, on a separate line.

If there are several numbers x with the same smallest possible length κ substring you can output any of them.

Subtasks

- (1) $0 \leq D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 \leq 3, D_5 = D_6 = \dots = D_9 = 0$, $1 \leq \tau \leq 1536$, scenarios will not repeat (13 points)
- (2) $\kappa = 2$ (14 points)
- (3) $D_3 = D_4 = \dots = D_9 = 0$ (29 points)
- (4) no additional constraints (44 points)

Example(s)

Standard Input	Standard Output
3	2313
2	62616236261623778899
1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	623616236162361778899
7	
2 4 2 0 0 6 2 2 2	
7	
3 3 3 0 0 6 2 2 2	

Explanation:

There are three test scenarios to consider in the example.

In the first scenario $\kappa = 2$ and you have to arrange digits 1233.

One optimal x is 2313, with the following length 2 substrings: 23, 31 and 13, the largest being 31. No other x has a smaller largest length 2 substring.

Another optimal x would be 3123, since its largest length 2 substring is also 31.

In the second scenario $\kappa = 7$ and you have to arrange digits 11222233666666778899.

One optimal x is 62616236261623778899 with the largest length 7 substring 6261623.

In the third scenario $\kappa = 7$ and you have to arrange digits 1112223336666666778899.

One optimal x is 623616236162361778899 with the largest length 7 substring 6236177.