



Task: Dijamant

We are observing class declarations in an object-oriented programming language similar to C++. Each class declaration is of the form “ $K : P_1 P_2 \dots P_k ;$ ” where K is the name of the new class being declared, and P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k the names of classes being *inherited* by class K . For example, “`shape ;`” is a declaration of class “`shape`” that does not inherit any other class, whereas “`square : shape rectangle ;`” is a declaration of class “`square`” that inherits classes “`shape`” and “`rectangle`”.

If class K_1 inherits class K_2 , class K_2 inherits class K_3 , and so on, up to class K_{m-1} that inherits class K_m , then we say that all classes K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{m-1} are *derived* from class K_m . The rules of the programming language forbid circular definitions, so it is not allowed to have a class derived from itself. In other words, the class hierarchy forms a *directed acyclic graph*. Additionally, it is not allowed for a so-called *diamond* to appear in the class hierarchy. A diamond consists of four different classes A, B, X, Y such that it holds:

- Classes X and Y are derived from A .
- Class B is derived from both X and Y .
- Neither is class X derived from Y , nor is class Y derived from X .




Figure 1: A diamond




Figure 2: The hierarchy after processing all declarations from the first sample test

You are given a series of class declarations to be processed sequentially, and determine for each one whether it is correctly declared. The correctly declared classes are added to the hierarchy, while the incorrect ones are discarded. Declaration “ $K : P_1 P_2 \dots P_k ;$ ” is *correctly declared* if the following holds:

1. Class K hasn't been declared yet.
2. All classes P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k have been previously declared. Notice that this condition ensures that a class can never be derived from itself, or that cycles cannot exist in the class hierarchy.
3. By adding class K that inherits P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k the class hierarchy remains in order, that is, not a single diamond is formed.

Write a programme that will process the declarations respectively as described above and determine the correctness of each one of them.

Input

The first line of input contains the integer n – the number of declarations. Each of the following n lines contains a single declaration in the form of “ $K : P_1 P_2 \dots P_k ;$ ” where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k is a series of zero, one or more classes that class K inherits. All class names in a single declaration K, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k are mutually different. Each class name is a string of at most 10 lower case letters of the English alphabet. All the elements of a declaration (the class names and characters “:” and “;”) are separated by exactly one space. In each specific declaration, for the number of classes k it holds $0 \leq k \leq 1000$.



Output

You must output n lines. The i^{th} line must contain “ok” if the i^{th} declaration is correct, and “greska” if it isn’t.

Scoring

Subtask	Score	Limitations
1	13	$1 \leq n \leq 100$, the correctness can be determined by considering only condition 1.
2	14	$1 \leq n \leq 100$, the correctness can be determined by considering only conditions 1 and 2.
3	29	$1 \leq n \leq 100$.
4	44	$101 \leq n \leq 1\,000$.

Sample tests

input


```
10
shape : ;
rectangle : shape ;
circle : shape ;
circle : ;
square : shape rectangle ;
runnable : object ;
object : ;
runnable : object shape ;
thread : runnable ;
applet : square thread ;
```

output

```
ok
ok
ok
ok
greska
ok
greska
ok
ok
ok
ok
greska
```

input

```
9
a : ;
x : ;
b : a x ;
c : b ;
d : a b c ;
e : d a ;
f : c e ;
y : x ;
g : c y e ;
```



output

```
ok
ok
ok
ok
ok
ok
ok
ok
ok
ok
greska
```

Clarification of the first example:

- The fourth declaration is incorrect because class “circle” has already been defined in the third row.
- The sixth declaration is incorrect because class “object” hasn’t been defined yet.
- The eighth declaration is correct because class “object” has now been declared, and the sixth declaration was discarded, so class “runnable” hasn’t been defined yet.
- The tenth declaration is incorrect because otherwise the following diamond forms: “shape”, “applet”, “square”, “runnable”.



Clarification of the second example:

- The tenth declaration is incorrect because otherwise the following diamond forms: “x”, “g”, “y”, “d” (and many other).